



























There are 2 types of Natural Pozzolans (NP):

1.Raw NP (Volcanic ejecta-based materials – pumice, pumicite, volcanic ash, etc. Pre-calcined by Mother Nature)

2.Calcined NP (such as MetaKaolin)









Hoover Dam, and hundreds of other infrastructure, projects used natural pozzolan. Picture/USBR



Glen Canyon dam used several hundred thousand tons of natural pozzolan in its construction.



Arizona, circa 1960















First US-based NP production facility dedicated solely to producing natural pozzolan (2018). There are more to follow - 3 more by the end of 2022.













	Sample Date: 8/9 - 8/11/15		MTRF ID:		
	Sample ID:				
			ASTM / AAS	SHTO Limits	ASTM Test
	Chemical Analysis		Class F	Class C	Method
	Silicon Dioxide (SiO2)	<u> </u>			
	Aluminum Oxide (Al2O3)	23.01 %			
	Iron Oxide (Fe2O3)	4.47 %			
	Sum of Constituents	87.21 %	70.0% min	50.0% min	D4326
	Sulfur Trioxide (SO3)	0.37 %	5.0% max	5.0% max	D4326
	Calcium Oxide (CaO)	4.84 %			D4326
	Moisture	0.05 %	3.0% max	3.0% max	C311
	Loss on Ignition	0.85 %	6.0% max 5.0% max	6.0% max 5.0% max	C311 AASHTO M295
	Available Alkalies, as Na2Oe When required by purchaser	1.36 %	not re 1.5% max	quired 1.5% max	C311 AASHTO M295
	Physical Analysis	_			
	Fineness, % retained on #325	%	34% max	34% max	C311, C430
Typical Class F –	Strength Activity Index - 7 or 28 day rec 7 day, % of control	uirement 84%	75% min	75% min	C311, C109
Fly Ash	28 day, % of control	84%	75% min	75% min	
	Water Requirement, % control	95%	105% max	105% max	
	Autoclave Soundness	0.00 %	0.8% max	0.8% max	C311, C151
	Density	2.25			C604

	ASTM C618-1	9 - Chemica	and Physical	Analyses - Fly	Ash/Pozzolans		
C	TL Ticket: 21102	Plant of Origin:		NP	Sample Date Range:	06/08/2021	
CT	TL Project: CT16959	Sample ID:		te Comp #1	to		NPA NATURA POZZOLA ASSOCIA
R	eport Date: 07/29/2021	Supplier:			Date Received	06/10/2021	
	Wyoming Analytical Laboratories				ASTM C618-19		
(by	, , ,	s, Inc.) Silicon Dioxide:	73.4	Class	N Class F	Class C	
		uminum Oxide:	12.4				
		Iron Oxide:					
	Total Silica, Aluminum, Iron	:	87.1	≥70.0%	≥50.0%	≥50.0%	
	Sulfur Trioxide	-	0.0	≤4.0%	≤5.0%	≤5.0%	
	Calcium Oxide	:	0.9	N/A	≤18.0%	>18.0%	
	Product Class	Class N					
	Froduct class.	Class N		Conforms to	Class: Yes		
Vo	latile Composition (N	lass%)					-
	Moisture Conter		0.9	≤3.0%	≤3.0%		
	Loss on Ignitio	n:	3.8	≤10.0%		≤3.0% ≤6.0%	
				210.070	20.076	≥6.0%	-
Phy	ysical Test Results						
	Fineness, Retained on #3	25 Sieve (%):	3.2	≤34%	‰ ≤34%	≤34%	
	Strength Activity Index (%) *			* No 7	-day limit if 28-day me	ets	
	Percent of Cont	rol @ 7 Days:	85	≥75%	‰ ≥75%	≥75%	
	Percent of Control	ol @ 28 Days:	100	Meets ≥75%	é ≥75%	≥75%	
	Water Requirement,	% of Contro	103	M321 HR ≤1159	6 ≤105%	≤105%	
	Soundness, Autoclave Ex	pansion (%):	-0.01	Pozz ≤0.8%	é ≤0.8%	≤0.8%	
	Der	nsity (g/cm3) :	2.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Uni	iformity Established f	rom 10 previo	us tests				=
Ave	erage Fineness:	3.4 Difference	0.3(%)	±5(%)) ±5(%)	±5(%)	
A	verage Density: 2.	35 Difference	-0.85%	±5%	±5%	±5%	
Su	pplementary Require	ments					
	Available Alkalis, as Na ₂ O		29%				

CTL Ticket: 19081 CTL Project: 16638 Report Date: 08/23/2019	Sample ID:	Metakaolin		Sample Date Range: to: Date Received: 06/03/2019
Chemical	Composition (%)			ASTM C618-15
(by Wyoming Ar	nalytical Laboratories, Inc.)			<u>Class N</u>
Tota	l Silica, Aluminum, Iron:	96.0		70.0 Min
	Silicon Dioxide:		53.4	
	Aluminum Oxide:		42.0	
	Iron Oxide:		0.6	
	Sulfur Trioxide:	0.1		4.0 Max
	Calcium Oxide:	0.1		
	Moisture Content:	0.2		3.0 Max
	Loss on Ignition:	0.5		10.0 Max
				AASHTO M295-11 Specifications
Avail	able Alkalies (as Na ₂ O):	0.0		1.5 Max
	Sodium Oxide:		0.03	
	Potassium Oxide:		0.00	
Bhyo	ical Test Results			ASTM C618-15
<u>Filys</u>	ical lest Results			<u>Class N</u>
Fineness, Reta	ained on #325 Sieve (%):	2.5		34 Max
Class-N Str	ength Activity Index (%)			
	tio to Control @ 7 Days:	109.8		
Pozzolan Rati	o to Control @ 28 Days:	122.0		75 Min
Water Red	uirement, % of Control:	111.6		115 Max
Soundness, Au	utoclave Expansion (%):	-0.07		0.8 Max
Drying Shrinkage, I	ncrease @ 28 Days (%):			0.03 Max 00 REP
	Density Mg/m ³ :	2.52		See R. Wash
Comments: Meets ASTM	C618-17 Class N and AA CTL Thompso		-	5 14540

■ Does higher water demand for NP mean more permeability, less density, or diminished durability? NO!

	Compressive Strength	NP Class N @ 0.45 w/cm_	@ 0.45 w/cm		I Class	NP Class N @ 0.55 w/cm_	l Class F (2) 0.55 w/cm_		NATURAL P3225LAN A530CIATION
	1 Day 10/12/22	2760	2290	2210	1520	1770	1230		
	7 Day 10/18/22	6000	5900	5320	4880	4600	4070		
	28 Day 11/8/22	7460	7200	6640	5750	5760	4950		
	28 day psi per pound of CM	13.23	12.77	11.77	10.20	10.21	8.78		
	56 Day 12/6/22	8530	7980	7440	6240	6280	5400		
		NP Class N @ 0.45	•	NP Class N @ 0.50	<u>l Class</u> F.@ 0.50 w/cm in KΩ-		Class F @ 0.55	AAHSTHO T-368 Classification 28 day reading is the standard maturity in Ohms Resistance for CDOT specifications, CDOT performance requirment > 12 Ohms Resistance	
		<u>w/cm in KΩ-cm</u>	_	w/cm in KΩ-cm		w/cm In KΩ-cm	w/cm In KΩ-cm		
	3 Day 10/14/22	5.4	6.0	4.4	4.5	3.8	4.5	Chloride Ion Penetrability Scale	
	7 Day 10/18/22	7.3	7.4	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.6	<12 High	
	14 Day 10/25/22	11.5	8.6	9.5	6.4	8.6	6.4	12-21 Moderate	
	21 Day 11/1/22	15.6	10.2	13.2	7.7	12.1	7.5	21-37 Low	
	28 Day 11/8/22	20.1 Moderate	12.8 Moderate	16.9 Moderate	9.5 High	16.2 Moderate	8.71 High	37-254 Very Low	
	56 Day 12/6/22	41.6 Very Low	25.1 Low	35.4 Low	17.2 Moderate	34.2 Low	16.1 Moderate	>254 Negligible	
	90 Day 1/9/23	60.2 Very Low	36.0 Low	51.2 Very Low	24.3 Low	48.4 Very Low	24.0 Low		
	AASHTO T-277, ASTM C1202 RCP Test 56 Day 12/6/22 CDOT requires <250	927 Very Low 10 at 56 days	1019 Low	1327 Low	2435 Moderate	1545 Low	3547 Moderate		

Rapid Chloride Ion Permeability ASTM C 1202									
Mix ID	Age (days)	Test Date	Chloride Ion Penetrability (Coulombs)						
NP at 0.50 w/c #1	56	12/6/22	1206						
NP at 0.50 w/c #2	56	12/6/22	1448						
	Average		1327						

Classification Table

Charge Passed (Coulombs)	Chloride Ion Penetrability
>4000	High
2000-4000	Moderate
1000-2000	Low
100-1000	Very Low
<100	Negligible

Based on these results, the NP at 0.50 w/c mix has a "Low" chloride ion penetrability. If you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact us.

Rapid Chloride Ion Permeability ASTM C 1202									
Mix ID	Age (days)	Test Date	Chloride Ion Penetrability (Coulombs)						
CF at 0.50 w/c #1	56	12/6/22	2668						
CF at 0.50 w/c #2	56	12/6/22	2201						
	Average		2435						

Classification Table

Charge Passed (Coulombs)	Chloride Ion Penetrability					
>4000	High					
2000-4000	Moderate					
1000-2000	Low					
100-1000	Very Low					
<100	Negligible					

Based on these results, the CF at 0.50 w/c mix has a "Moderate" chloride ion penetrability. If you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact us.

Mitigates	s ASR to	o 0 expo	ansion	in the St	d 14d	l test, and	.01% at 28	d (For FAA job i	n KS)		
					SUM	MARY TABL	.E				
	Figure Aggregates Coarse Fine			Cement	Cementitious Materials E		28-Day Expansion	ASTM C 1567 Classification (14-Days)			
	Control Sand A-1	0% 0%	100% 100%	100% 75%	0% 25%	0.29%	0.42%	Potentially Deleterious Acceptable			
	T	The ASTM C 1567 test method defines the potential of an aggregate for deleterious expansion as follows, based on the 14-Day expansion:									
	Test	Expansion Days)	n (14-	CI	assifica	ation	Potential for	Deleterious ASR			
		< 0.1%		_	ccepta			Low			
		> 0.1%		Potent	ially De	eleterious		High			
	11	VP mitigat	tes the re	eactive roo	k to a	"Low" potent	ial for deleteri	e, the use of 25% ious ASR. The 28- ents, if applicable.			













Cement & SCM changes and Performance Specifications The game is changing quickly

Dave Figurski, PE Holcim

Colorado Concrete Conference September 28th, 2022





Global Cement Industry's Reaction to Pressure

Metric

Env Glo

- Quickly cover what the GCCA has committed to: • 25% CO₂ reduction by 2030 (from 2020)
 - Net Zero emissions by 2050
- New Terminology:
 - **EPD's**...Environmental Product Declarations • Environmental "Nutrition Label"
 - **GWP**...Global Warming Potential (CO₂ equiv.)
- Low hanging fruit:
 - · Reduce clinker contents within cements
 - Minimize cement content within concrete
 - "Buy Clean Colorado Act" already here
- Future focus:
 - Renewable Energy additions to cement plants
 - Alternative Fuels
 - More efficient plants
 - Large scale carbon capture



Searc

Environmental impact		
Global warming potential (100 years)	1040	kg CO2-eq.
Acidification potential	2.45	kg SO2-eq.
Eutrophication potential	1.22	kg N-eq.
Formation potential of tropospheric ozone	48.8	kg O3-eq
Ozone depletion potential	2.61E-05	kg CFC 11-eq.
- · · ·	PCA Portland Cement	Industry Average EPD



Durability testing

- For year's there's been no easy/quick test method for assessing a mix's durability performance
- Specs have relied on limiting the w/cm as a surrogate
- <u>ASTM C1202</u> Electrical Indication of Concrete's Ability to Resist Chloride Ion Penetration
 - Measures amount of electrical current passing thru 2" core
 - Significant prep, time and handling of caustic solutions
 - Has been specified for years...lower coulomb values better
- <u>AASTHO T358</u> Surface Resistivity Indication of Concrete's Ability to Resist Chloride Ion Penetration (2015)
 - Newer and easier test to perform
 - Excellent correlation to C1202
 - · Required for most CDOT approved mixes in 2021
 - · Higher resistivity values better









<section-header> Basis for moving to performance specifications Concrete mix design development is a balancing act! a) Strength b) Workability (and how long you have it) c) Durability a) Dimensional stability b) Brepeatability g) Economics b) Sustainability c) Sustainability c) Frescriptive specifications limit the innovative potential of the concrete supplier



ACI 318-19 ACI Building Code Requirements

- Specified mix criteria to ensure adequate durability, protecting against:
 - F Freezing & Thawing
 - S Sulfate
 - · P/W In contact w/water
 - · C Corrosion Protection of Reinforcement

What testing were these specifications based upon? Can we redo the foundational testing using Natural Pozzolans in order to establish new guidelines for a very different, yet very effective SCM?

	Table	19.3.2.1-	-Requireme	nts for cond	crete by exposure	e class		(ACI 318-19)
	Free	osure class	Maximum w/cm ^[1,2]	Minimum f _c ', psi		Limits on cementitious materials		
-:	Expo	FO	N/A	2500		Air content N/A		N/A
Reinforcement		F1	0.55	3500	Table 19 3 3 1	for concrete or Table 19.3.	3.3 for shotcrete	N/A
		F2	0.45	4500		for concrete or Table 19.3.		N/A
		F3	0.40(2)	5000 ⁽³⁾	Table 19.3.3.1	for concrete or Table 19.3.	3.3 for shotcrete	26.4.2.2(b)
haaa					Cem	entitious materials ^[4] —	Types	Calcium chloride
these					ASTM C150	ASTM C595	ASTM C1157	admixture
		S0	N/A	2500	No type restriction	No type restriction	No type restriction	No restriction
? Can we		S1	0.50	4000	Π[2][4]	Types with (MS) designation	MS	No restriction
ting using		S2	0.45	4500	A [9]	Types with (HS) designation	HS	Not permitted
der to	\$3	Option 1	0.45	4500	V plus pozzolan or slag cement ⁽⁷⁾	Types with (HS) designation plus pozzolan or slag cement ⁽⁷⁾	HS plus pozzolan or slag cement ⁽⁷⁾	Not permitted
for a very		Option 2	0.40	5000	V ^[0]	Types with (HS) designation	HS	Not permitted
ve SCM?		W0	N/A	2500			one	
		W1	N/A	2500		1010-001	2.2(d)	
		W2	0.50	4000	ANI NO.		2.2(d)	
					content in concrete	uble chloride ion (Cl ⁻) e, percent by mass of materials ^[9,30]		
					Nonprestressed concrete	Prestressed concrete	Additional provisions	
		C0	N/A	2500	1.00	0.06	No	me
		C1	N/A	2500	0.30	0.06		
(Use authorized by ACI)		C2	0.40	5000	0.15	0.06	Concrete	cover ^[11]



- NRMCA P2P
 - Specifications in Practice documents
 - Selected Published Papers/Reports



Summary....

- New cements, new SCM's, & new tests are here
- NPs don't behave like the materials our prescriptive specs are built around
- If the industry is going to make progress on the sustainability goals in front of us, producers will need to be able to innovate.

Start becoming familiar and permit performance specifications where you can!



Questions...feel free to email: Dave Figurski david.figurski@holcim.com



NP industry's request to the DOT's and other specifiers in the industry:

1. Give us optional performance standards that align with the prescribed w/c ratios.

2. Provide optional 56d specifications for strength and durability (resistivity or RCP) specifications – in order to reduce cementitious.

3. NPs are different materials which require different mix designs for proper optimization.

4. Remove LOI restrictions on NP. LOI in NP is not carbon but rather bound water which does not affect air entrainment.

5. We aren't looking for a pass, but rather a pathway. Allow for approval of NP mix designs based on performance rather than W/C ratio.

We can reduce clinker in cement now. We can reduce cement in concrete now. We can reduce concrete in construction now (optimized mix designs). We can make extremely durable, sustainable concrete now by learning lessons from the past. Why wait?



















NPA NATURAL POZZOLAN ASSOCIAN

Modern portland cements produce unreacted excess free lime. Standard TI/II cements can release up to 25% calcium hydroxide (a by-product of the hydraulic reaction) into the pore solution - unbound, & free to go about its deleterious work - **Ca(OH)2** is:

- Y.A key ingredient in ASR
- 2. A key ingredient in Sulfate Attack
- 3. A key ingredient in Efflorescence
- 4. A key ingredient in Chlorides induced expansion
- 5. A key contributor to porosity in concrete (allowing ingress of chlorides, sulfates, etc)



By converting the free-lime into additional C-S-H, a concrete using NP at a 20~25% replacement of cement will have greater ultimate compressive strength than a 100% cement mix design - up to 150% SAI of the straight cement index mix at 1 year.





Reduction of Carbon Footprint is significant:

Typical GWP of cement: .922 mt/1 ton of Cement produced* GWP for raw NP: < .05~.08 mt/1 ton of Raw NP produced**

There is a massive reduction in carbon footprint when cement is replaced with NP. Currently some customers are replacing up to 40% of their cement with NP and still hitting their strength requirements, reducing embodied carbon, permeability, and heat of hydration while mitigating ASR and Sulfate attack. It is a win-win-win proposition.

* PCA EPD OPC 2021 ** Each process is slightly different














Lab mix plan to evaluate Natural Pozzolans and water demand

- (4) mixes per material combination w/varying w/cm ratios
 - 611 lbs of total cementitious per yard
 - Fixed 25% SCM replacement
 - Target a 4 5" slump with 5 6% total air content

	IL cement	IL cement	C595 Blended Nat.	I/II cement				
	25% Class F ash	25% Nat. Pozzolan	Pozzolan cement	25% Class F ash				
0.37	High Range WR			High Range WR				
0.40	Mid Range WR			Mid Range WR				
0.43	Low Range WR	High Range WR	High Range WR	Low Range WR				
0.46	No WR	Mid Range WR	Mid Range WR	No WR				
0.49		Low Range WR	Low Range WR					
0.52		No WR	No WR					
			 Understand t Can the w 	he limitations of ater demand of	h (& smaller extent C150 vs. C595 IL) adding extra water to NP mixes NP's be met w/o adverse effects?			
	i.		 NP's being used successfully today: Can they be used more sustainably/cost effectively/etc. 					

