

Implementation of HPC

State DOT and Industry Perspective

National Concrete Consortium

April 26-28, 2016

Columbus, Ohio

John F. Staton – Michigan DOT

Dan DeGraaf – Michigan Concrete Association

Overall HPC Mixture Enhancements - Materials

- *Total cementitious content reduction*
- *SCM*
 - *State of affairs – what are we getting these days*
 - *Year-round usage*
- *Aggregates*
 - *Quality*
 - *Proportions*
 - *Moisture control*
- *ASR testing*
 - *Test methods – aggregate qualification vs. mitigation*
 - *Mixture approval*

Overall HPC Mixture Enhancements - Methods

- *Improved durability-based test methods*
 - *Current demonstration initiatives*
 - *Air quality – before and after pump/paver*
 - *SAM*
 - *Resistivity*
 - *Internal curing – future initiative*
- *Others*

Today's Focus: Aggregate Acceptance for HPC

- *Aggregate gradation can influence other HPC properties*
- *How do we administer the quality oversight for optimized aggregates?*
- *Measure*
 - *Percent retained...8-18 ?*
 - *Shilstone...CF vs WF ?*
 - *"Tarantula" curve ?*
- *Control*
 - *Contractor process control*
 - *A to Z*
- *Accept*
 - *Agency acceptance*
 - *Level of compliance*
 - *Price adjustment*
 - *Audit proof*

What is Considered the “Aggregate Source”?

- *Processing facility*
 - *Pit*
 - *Quarry*
- *Boat dock*
- *Concrete batching facility*
 - *Commercial ready mix plant*
 - *Portable on-site batch plant*
- *Source is where the “program level” QC and QA sampling will be administered*

Current MDOT Aggregate Acceptance - Program Level

- *Two Levels of Aggregate Acceptance*
 - *Prequalified Aggregate Sources*
 - *Sufficient producer/source quality program*
 - *Sufficient process/quality control*
 - *Therefore, reduced acceptance is justified*
 - *Non-Prequalified Aggregate Sources*
 - *Minimal (if any) producer/supplier quality program*
 - *Minimal process/quality control*
 - *Normal acceptance sampling frequency*
 - *Basically...don't ship until it is tested*

Aggregate Acceptance Program Level vs. Project Level

- *“Program” Level*
 - *Focuses on standard aggregates for normal “vanilla” concreting applications*
 - *Acceptance sampling frequency based on volume produced and shipped statewide*
 - *Region test reports feed statewide program level database*

- *“Project” Level*
 - *Aggregate testing for normal “vanilla” concrete aggregates is administered at the program level*
 - *Basically, “vanilla” gradations are not verified after they leave the source*
 - *But, aggregate gradation “optimization” is **“project-specific”***

Project Level Site Conditions: Trunkline Paving

- *Dedicated concrete batch plant*
- *Non-standard gradations – sometimes customized for optimization*
- *Dedicated aggregate stockpiles*
- *Project specific materials quality control – high volume usage*
- *Daily QC sampling and testing*
- *Control charts*
- *One-stop shopping for acceptance testing*
 - *Good communication*
 - *Agency - materials lab to project staff*
 - *Agency to contractor*
 - *Agency to contractor's QC staff*
- *Cost effective*
- *Seamless accountability to the project*

Project Level Site Conditions: Non-Trunkline Paving

- *Commercial ready mix concrete facilities*
- *Limited real estate – materials handling and storage*
- *Just in time delivery*
- *Limited usage of customized gradations*
- *Daily QC sampling and testing challenges...how to administer*
 - *QC for intermittent placements*
 - *Timely sampling*
- *Costly*
- *Logistics challenges relative to multiple plants/projects*
- *Possible communication breakdown*
 - *Agency to contractor*
 - *Agency – materials lab to project staff*
 - *Contractor to concrete batch plant QC staff*
 - *Agency to concrete batch plant QC staff*

*Moving from “Program” Level to “Project”
Level Aggregate Quality Control and
Acceptance for HPC Application*

This is what we are working on...

- *Trunkline Paving – dedicated on-site concrete batch plant*
 - *Contractor Quality Control*
 - *Quality control plan*
 - *Develop mix and optimized gradation proportions in lab – pre-production*
 - *Verify optimized gradation*
 - *Prior to startup*
 - *Randomly during each day of production*
 - *Moving average using control charts*
 - *Agency Acceptance*
 - *Sampling and testing frequency based on volume – 1 test per 5,000 tons of coarse aggregate*
 - *Acceptability based on comparison between agency and contractor test results*
 - *Must plot within CF vs WF “operating zone”*

This is what we are working on...

- *Concrete Production - commercial batch plant*
 - *Contractor Quality Control*
 - *Quality control plan*
 - *Develop mix and optimized gradation proportions in lab – pre-production*
 - *Utilize aggregate producer’s weekly gradation reports*
 - *Verify aggregate producer’s weekly gradation report results at batch plant*
 - *Monitor optimized gradation*
 - *Prior to startup*
 - *Weekly during concrete production, thereafter*
 - *Frequency may be reduced if history of consistency*
 - *Moving average using control charts*
 - *Agency Acceptance*
 - *Sampling and testing frequency, one sample per...*
 - *5,000 tons of coarse aggregate, or*
 - *2,000 cyds of concrete produced*
 - *Acceptability based on comparison between **agency** and **contractor** test results*
 - *Must plot within CF vs WF “operating zone”*

Does more testing imply a better quality end product?

Does less imply a lesser quality end product?

Where is the “sweet spot?”

Communication is the key !!

*Questions
and
Discussion*