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Optimizing Pavement Base, Subbase, and Subgrade Layers for Cost and Performance of Local Roads

This project involved field forensic investigations of various strategies for optimizing the pavement support layers at 16 different portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement sites on city and county roads in Iowa.

Problem Statement

It is common for local street and road pavements to be constructed using portland cement concrete (PCC) directly supported on natural subgrade without considering subgrade treatment or structural support layers such as granular subbase. In order to optimize the performance of concrete pavement, it is critical to understand how the support layers can be designed and constructed to provide the most economical life cycle cost of the pavement system and minimize public funds expenditures on local roads.

Project Overview

To improve the understanding of the relationship between PCC pavement performance and foundation support conditions, 16 different sites on local city and county roads in Iowa were tested. The sites tested varied in the following aspects:

a) Pavement age, from about 30 days to 42 years
b) Surface distress conditions, from “poor” to “excellent” (PCI values from 35 to 100)
c) Type of support conditions, from directly supported over natural subgrade to fly ash–stabilized subgrade to 12-in. thick granular subbase materials
d) Pavement thickness, from 6 in. to 11 in.
e) Annual average daily traffic (AADT) from 110 to 8900

At each site, pavement condition, surface deflections under falling weight deflectometer loading, support layer stiffness, and support layer drainage were studied. The field test results were used to calculate in situ parameters used in pavement design per Iowa Statewide Urban Design and Specifications (SUDAS) and AASHTO (1993) design methodologies. The results were documented in a final report and used in the development of a companion set of guidelines.

Field Measurements

The foundation layer design input parameters determined from field testing include:

a) Modulus of subgrade reaction \( k \),

b) Composite modulus of subgrade reaction (if subbase layer is present) \( k_c \),

c) Loss of support (LOS), and

d) Coefficient of drainage \( C_d \).

Parameters (a) to (c) were determined using falling weight deflectometer (FWD) and dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) tests (Figures 1 and 2), and parameter (d) was determined using a newly developed core hole
permeameter (CHP) test device (Figure 3). FWD tests provided a measure of subgrade $k$ values (hereafter, referred to as $k_{FWD}$). The $k_{FWD}$ values determined from this study were corrected for slab size and dynamic effects and reported as Static $k_{FWD-Corr}$ values. DCP tests were used to empirically estimate the modulus of subgrade and subbase layers, and then graphically determine the composite modulus of subgrade reaction $k_{comp}$ values (hereafter, referred to as $k_{comp-DCP}$), per AASHTO (1993) guidelines. $k_{comp}$ values were also determined from Static $k_{FWD-Corr}$ values using subbase layer modulus estimated from DCP tests and the AASHTO (1993) graphical procedure and are reported as Static $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$. Loss of support under pavements was evaluated based on FWD testing using the concept of zero load intercept, and also by comparing the $k_{comp}$ values determined from FWD and DCP tests. It is assumed that the FWD tests take into account the loss of support that is existing under pavements at the time of testing, but the DCP tests do not because only properties of individual layers are used in the calculation.

CHP tests were conducted to determine in situ hydraulic conductivity ($K_{CHP}$) values. The $C_d$ values were determined by estimating the time of drainage using the $K_{CHP}$ values, pavement geometry (i.e., width and cross slope), and effective porosity of the drainage layer material.

In addition to these design input parameters, frost-heave susceptibility classification of the foundation materials was determined based on soil classification determined on excavated samples from field sites (Figure 4).

Previous research indicated that uniformity of pavement support conditions plays a critical role in long-term performance of PCC pavements. Uniformity of pavement support conditions was also evaluated in this study based on FWD test results. A uniformity classification matrix was developed to compare results from each site.
Summary of Key Findings

Overall, the results of this study demonstrate how in situ and lab testing can be used to assess the support conditions and design values for pavement foundation layers. The measurements show that in Iowa, a wide range of pavement conditions and foundation layer support values exist. The calculated design input ($k$, $k_{COMP}$, $C_d$, and loss of support) values are different than typically assumed. This finding was true for the full range of materials tested. This finding supports the recommendation to incorporate field testing as part of the process to field verify the selected pavement design values.

A summary of key analysis results obtained from all field sites are as follows:

- The joint LTE at 13 out of the 15 sites showed an average of $\geq 92\%$ at the joints, irrespective of the foundation layer conditions. The remaining three projects showed average LTE < 50%.

- It was found that modulus of subgrade reaction values determined from FWD test (Static $k_{FWD-Corr}$) correlate well with subgrade layer CBR, when the weakest layer CBR within the top 16 in. of subgrade (CBR$_{SG-Weak}$) is used. These correlations are also in line with the data published previously in the literature. There is significant variability in the $k$ versus CBR relationships, however.

- Composite $k$ values that account for subbase layer modulus and thickness based on FWD tests (Static $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$) were on average about 0.9 to 6.2 times lower than the values determined from DCP test results using CBR$_{SG-Weak}$ ($k_{comp-DCP-Weak}$).

- The $k_{comp-DCP-Weak}$ values do not account for LOS under the pavement in situ, while the $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$ values do as the measurement is directly on the pavement. The LOS values back-calculated by comparing the averages (per site) of these values ranged from about 0.7 to 1.7. These LOS values are higher than the values currently suggested in the SUDAS design procedures (1 for natural subgrade and 0 for granular subbase). For sections with granular subbase, the LOS values ranged from 0.7 to 1.3.

- On average, the $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$ and $k_{comp-DCP}$ values increased with increasing subbase layer thickness. The Westlawn Dr. site (with 8.5 to 10 in. of subbase) was an exception because of poorly compacted backfill material in the subgrade at that site, which contributed to LOS and lower $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$ values. The W38/Locust Rd. section with 12 in. of granular subbase (3 in. of subbase and 9 in. of macadam subbase) showed the highest $k_{comp-FWD-Corr}$ and $k_{comp-DCP}$ values.

- In situ hydraulic conductivity measurements ($K_{CHP}$) values measured for the seven different foundation layer support categories did not show improvement in $C_d$ values with increasing subbase layer thickness and were generally lower than suggested for design in SUDAS ($C_d = 1.0$ for natural subgrade and 1.1 when granular subbase is present).

- Multi-variate statistical analysis performed on various parameters measured during this study revealed that improving subgrade strength/stiffness (within about the top 16 in. of the subgrade layer), improving drainage, providing a subbase layer, and reducing variability, can contribute to increasing the PCI value (Figure 5). Subgrade layer properties can be improved by stabilization, drainage can be improved by the presence of a relatively thin drainable subbase layer (note that subbase layer thickness was not statistically significant), and variability can be reduced by adequate in situ testing. Some recommendations regarding these aspects are provided in Chapter 8. The PCI prediction model developed from this analysis is based on limited data (16 sites), and must be validated with a larger pool of data.

![Figure 5. Relationships between pavement age and PCI with simple linear and multi-variate regression analysis results](image-url)
Recommendations

The field investigation demonstrates that there can be several factors that affect pavement foundation performance, including at least the following:

a) Poor support (due to low stiffness or CBR)
b) Poor drainage
c) Seasonal variations (freeze-thaw and frost-heave)
d) Shrink-swell due to moisture variations
e) Loss of support (due to erosion, non-uniform settlement, curling/warping)
f) Poorly compacted utility trench backfill
g) Differential settlement of foundation layers
h) Overall non-uniformity

These problems can be identified through in situ testing. Options for field testing to characterize these problems are summarized in the field data report.

The PCI prediction model developed from multi-variate analysis in this study demonstrated a link between pavement foundation conditions and PCI. These results should be validated with data collected from more projects. The key aspect of this model is that by measuring properties of the pavement foundation, the engineer will be able to predict long-term performance with higher reliability (by factor of 2.4 based on ratio of standard errors) than by considering age alone. These predictions can be used as motivation to then control the engineering properties of the pavement foundation for new or reconstructed PCC pavements to achieve some desired level of performance (i.e., PCI) with time.

Implementation Readiness

This project resulted in two deliverables: the Final Field Data Report, with detailed analyses of the tested strategies for optimizing pavement support layers, and Guidance for Improving Foundation Layers to Increase Pavement Performance on Local Roads, a set of guidelines for implementing the findings from the forensic investigations. The guidelines include a brief summary of what information was understood before this project, what information was learned from project, and brief guidance on improving concrete pavement performance.

The guidelines benefit Iowa’s local agencies by helping them implement the findings of the field studies. In particular, local agencies can accomplish the following on new or reconstructed concrete pavement projects:

- Conduct geotechnical investigations to determine what soils are present and understand their characteristics
- Prepare the foundation layers and treat problem areas (performance and cost considerations are discussed in the guidelines)
- Utilize appropriate inspection and quality assurance procedures for foundation layers

The Final Field Data Report and the Guidance for Improving Foundation Layers to Increase Pavement Performance on Local Roads will be distributed across the state of Iowa and made available on the National Concrete Pavement Technology (CP Tech) Center website. In addition, a one-hour presentation based on this project will be developed and presented at locations within the six Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) districts as part of the lunch-hour forums sponsored by the Iowa Concrete Paving Association and the Iowa DOT.

In addition, the Iowa SUDAS program will consider adding supplemental information to the pavement design parameters in Chapter 5 of the SUDAS Design Manual. Supplemental information may include the benefits of using aggregate subbase such as improving support, improving drainage, and increasing service life.

In the future, a more comprehensive and holistic document is desired for practicing engineers. The National CP Tech Center plans to develop a more comprehensive guide that will include the information in the Guidance for Improving Foundation Layers to Increase Pavement Performance on Local Roads plus procedures to identify, test, and treat or improve subgrade and subbase layers to optimize concrete pavement performance. Information in the new guide will include basic soil information such as properties and characteristics, soil testing, and soil behavior with water and frost heave.